

more different framework data and corresponding results at: <http://results-esp.msave-the-climate.info>

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)		
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100	Gt	determination
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2020 - 2100	700	global budget
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2020 - 2100	0	
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here	3%	
weighting population key in the weighted key	85%	national budget
scenario type used for the reference values	RM-4-quadr	paths

Calculation **global budget** to distribute here:  
 LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. Global LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget.  
 A value of **zero** for LUC means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions					emissions 2019 in Gt	per capita 2019 in t	share in global emissions 2019	accumulated share	year emissions neutrality	normalised change rate 2020
target year:	2030		2050							
reference year:	1990	2010	1990	2010						
China	202%	-21%	-100%	-100%	11.5	8	31%	31%	2038	2.2%
United States	-77%	-79%	-100%	-100%	5.0	15	14%	45%	2034	-2.4%
EU27	-58%	-53%	-93%	-92%	2.9	7	8%	53%	2062	-5.0%
India	390%	67%	267%	26%	2.6	2	7%	60%	2081	1.5%
Russia	-75%	-65%	-100%	-100%	1.8	12	5%	65%	2035	-0.7%
Japan	-46%	-49%	-100%	-100%	1.1	9	3%	68%	2043	-3.0%

largest national budgets 2020 - 2100	national budget	weighted key	emissions 2019	scope years
	Gt		Gt	
China	139.4	20.5%	11.50	12
India	109.4	16.1%	2.56	43
EU27	41.5	6.1%	2.93	14
United States	38.7	5.7%	5.04	8
Indonesia	22.0	3.2%	0.65	34
Brazil	17.1	2.5%	0.48	36
Pakistan	16.8	2.5%	0.22	77
Russia	15.9	2.3%	1.78	9
Nigeria	15.4	2.3%	0.13	115
Japan	12.7	1.9%	1.14	11
Bangladesh	12.5	1.8%	0.11	114
Mexico	10.9	1.6%	0.49	22
Philippines	8.5	1.3%	0.15	57
Ethiopia	8.4	1.2%	0.02	442
Egypt	8.3	1.2%	0.28	29
Germany	8.2	1.2%	0.70	12
Vietnam	8.1	1.2%	0.33	25
Iran	8.1	1.2%	0.69	12
Turkey	7.4	1.1%	0.41	18
Democratic Republic of the Congo	6.5	1.0%	0.00	1,871
United Kingdom	6.1	0.9%	0.36	17
Thailand	6.0	0.9%	0.27	22
France and Monaco	5.8	0.8%	0.32	18
South Africa	5.7	0.8%	0.47	12
South Korea	5.7	0.8%	0.66	9
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	5.5	0.8%	0.33	16
Canada	4.5	0.7%	0.60	7
Tanzania	4.4	0.6%	0.01	343
Saudi Arabia	4.2	0.6%	0.59	7
Spain and Andorra	4.2	0.6%	0.26	16
Myanmar/Burma	4.1	0.6%	0.04	109
Sudan and South Sudan	4.1	0.6%	0.02	174
Colombia	4.0	0.6%	0.09	43
Kenya	4.0	0.6%	0.02	209
Argentina	3.9	0.6%	0.19	21
Ukraine	3.8	0.6%	0.20	20
Algeria	3.7	0.5%	0.18	21
Poland	3.7	0.5%	0.31	12
Iraq	3.5	0.5%	0.21	17
Uganda	3.3	0.5%	0.01	537
Malaysia	3.1	0.5%	0.26	12
Australia	3.0	0.4%	0.41	7
Morocco	2.9	0.4%	0.07	41
Afghanistan	2.9	0.4%	0.01	239
sum without EU	576		33	
sum across all countries	679		37	19

**Basic idea behind the ESPM**

The ESPM consists of two steps:

(1) **National budgets:** A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a **weighted distribution key** that includes the **'population'** and the **'emissions'** in a base year (here: 2019).

(2) **National paths:** The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

**Basic idea behind the Regensburg Model Scenario Types RM 1 - 6**

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes to making **political decisions** about emission **paths**.

Brief description of the ESPM:

[https://www.klima-rettet.info/PDF/ESPM\\_Background.pdf](https://www.klima-rettet.info/PDF/ESPM_Background.pdf)

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:

[https://www.klima-rettet.info/Downloads/RM-Scenario-Types\\_short.pdf](https://www.klima-rettet.info/Downloads/RM-Scenario-Types_short.pdf)

Published paper for the six largest emitters:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4764408>