

more different framework data and corresponding results at: <http://results-espm.save-the-climate.info>

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)

global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100	Gt	determination
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2020 - 2100	550	
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2020 - 2100	0	global budget
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here	3.3%	-18
weighting population in the weighted key	532	national budget
potential for net negative emissions	85%	overshoot
scenario type used for the reference values	-2%	RM-4-quadr
		paths

Calculation global budget to distribute here:

LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. Global LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget.

A value of zero for LUC means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

Overshoot: The percentage stated is applied to the 2019 emissions and represents the minimum of the emissions pathway.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions

target year:	2030	2035	2040	emissions 2019 in Gt	per capita 2019 in t	share in global emissions 2019	share in global population 2019	year emissions neutrality	temporary overshoot in Gt	normalised start change rate 2025
	2019									
China	-64%	-102%	-102%	12	8	32%	18%	2033	16	0.8%
United States	-102%	-102%	-102%	5	15	14%	4%	2030	7	0.3%
EU27	-33%	-66%	-94%	3	7	8%	6%	2043	3	-2.4%
India	57%	62%	20%	3	2	7%	18%	2055	2	4.6%
Russia	-102%	-102%	-102%	2	13	5%	2%	2029	3	3.1%
Japan	-46%	-93%	-102%	1	9	3%	2%	2037	1	-3.5%
sum				25		69%	50%		33	

largest national budgets 2020 - 2100	national budget	weighted key	emissions 2019	scope years
	Gt		Gt	
China	109.0	20.5%	11.81	9
India	85.8	16.1%	2.55	34
EU27	32.3	6.1%	2.91	11
United States	30.1	5.7%	4.97	6
Indonesia	17.2	3.2%	0.64	27
Brazil	13.5	2.5%	0.47	29
Russia	12.5	2.3%	1.86	7
Pakistan	12.4	2.3%	0.20	63
Nigeria	12.1	2.3%	0.13	96
Bangladesh	10.1	1.9%	0.11	92
Japan	9.9	1.9%	1.12	9
Mexico	8.8	1.7%	0.49	18
Philippines	6.7	1.3%	0.15	45
Ethiopia	6.5	1.2%	0.02	352
Egypt	6.4	1.2%	0.24	27
Viet Nam	6.4	1.2%	0.34	19
Iran	6.4	1.2%	0.71	9
Germany	6.3	1.2%	0.70	9
Türkiye	5.8	1.1%	0.41	14
Democratic Republic of the Congo	5.1	1.0%	0.00	1,146
United Kingdom	4.7	0.9%	0.36	13
Thailand	4.7	0.9%	0.29	16
France and Monaco	4.5	0.9%	0.32	14
South Africa	4.4	0.8%	0.48	9
South Korea	4.4	0.8%	0.65	7
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	4.2	0.8%	0.33	13
Tanzania	3.6	0.7%	0.02	212
Canada	3.5	0.7%	0.61	6
Spain and Andorra	3.3	0.6%	0.25	13
Saudi Arabia	3.3	0.6%	0.58	6
Myanmar/Burma	3.3	0.6%	0.03	95
Sudan and South Sudan	3.2	0.6%	0.02	138
Colombia	3.1	0.6%	0.09	36
Kenya	3.1	0.6%	0.02	159
Argentina	3.0	0.6%	0.18	17
Ukraine	3.0	0.6%	0.21	15
Poland	2.9	0.5%	0.31	9
Algeria	2.9	0.5%	0.18	16
Iraq	2.8	0.5%	0.19	14
Uganda	2.7	0.5%	0.01	382
Malaysia	2.5	0.5%	0.26	10
Australia	2.4	0.4%	0.41	6
Morocco	2.3	0.4%	0.07	32
Afghanistan	2.2	0.4%	0.01	182
sum without EU	451		33	
sum across all countries	532		37	14

Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

(1) **National budgets:** A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a **weighted distribution key** that includes the '**population**' and the '**emissions**' in a base year (here: 2019).

(2) **National paths:** The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

Basic idea behind the scenario types RM 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes

Brief description of the ESPM:

<http://espm-short.climate-calculator.info>

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:

<http://rm-scenario-types.climate-calculator.info>

Published paper for the six largest emitters:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4764408>

Overview of web apps for ESPM:

<https://climate-calculator.info>