more different framework data and corresponding results at: http://results-espm.save-the-climate.info

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)			
		Gt	determination
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100		**650**	global budget
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2020 - 2100		**0**	
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2020 - 2100	3%	-20	
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here		630	
weighting population key in the weighted key	**70%**		national budget
scenario type used for the reference values	**RM-4-quadr**		paths

Calculation **global budget** to distribute here:

LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. Global LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget.

A value of **zero** for **LUC** means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions					emissions	per capita	share in global	share in global	year	normalised change
target year:	2030		2050		2019	2019	emissions 2019	population 2019	emissions neutrality	rate 2020
reference year:	1990	2019	1990	2019	in Gt	in t				
China	203%	-38%	-100%	-100%	11.8	8	32%	18%	2038	2.4%
United States	-62%	-62%	-100%	-100%	5.0	15	14%	4%	2039	-3.0%
EU27	-57%	-44%	-95%	-93%	2.9	7	8%	6%	2059	-4.7%
India	343%	4%	175%	-36%	2.6	2	7%	18%	2081	0.3%
Russia	-76%	-69%	-100%	-100%	1.9	13	5%	2%	2035	3.9%
Japan	-45%	-44%	-100%	-100%	1.1	9	3%	2%	2045	-3.1%
sum							69%	50%		

largest national budgets 2020 - 2100	national budget	weighted key	emissions 2019	scope years
	Gt		Gt	
China	141.9	22.5%	11.77	12
India	91.5	14.5%	2.56	36
United States	44.6	7.1%	5.01	9
EU27	40.4	6.4%	2.92	14
Indonesia	18.8	3.0%	0.65	29
Russia	17.9	2.8%	1.88	10
Brazil	14.6	2.3%	0.48	31
Japan	13.1	2.1%	1.14	12
Pakistan	12.7	2.0%	0.20	63
Nigeria	12.1	1.9%	0.12	101
Bangladesh	10.2	1.6%	0.10	97
Mexico	10.1	1.6%	0.48	21
Germany	8.3	1.3%	0.70	12
Iran	8.2	1.3%	0.67	12
Vietnam	7.3	1.2%	0.33	22
Egypt	7.2	1.1%	0.27	27
Philippines	7.0	1.1%	0.15	46
Turkey	6.9	1.1%	0.42	17
Ethiopia	6.4	1.0%	0.02	324
South Korea	6.3	1.0%	0.65	10
South Africa	5.7	0.9%	0.47	12
United Kingdom	5.7	0.9%	0.37	16
Thailand	5.4	0.9%	0.28	19
France and Monaco	5.4	0.9%	0.32	17
Canada	5.3	0.8%	0.61	9
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	5.1	0.8%	0.33	15
Democratic Republic of the Congo	5.0	0.8%	0.00	1,479
Saudi Arabia	4.9	0.8%	0.58	9
Spain and Andorra	4.0	0.6%	0.26	15
Poland	3.8	0.6%	0.31	12
Tanzania	3.6	0.6%	0.01	264
Ukraine	3.5	0.6%	0.20	18
Australia	3.5	0.6%	0.41	9
Argentina	3.5	0.6%	0.18	19
Algeria	3.4	0.5%	0.18	19
Myanmar/Burma	3.3	0.5%	0.04	85
Colombia	3.3	0.5%	0.08	39
Iraq	3.2	0.5%	0.18	18
Malaysia	3.2	0.5%	0.27	12
Sudan and South Sudan	3.2	0.5%	0.02	142
Kenya	3.1	0.5%	0.02	135
Taiwan	2.8	0.4%	0.28	10
Uganda	2.7	0.4%	0.01	372
Uzbekistan	2.5	0.4%	0.12	20
sum without EU	540		33	
sum across all countries	630		37	17

Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

(1) **National budgets**: A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a **weighted distribution key** that includes the '**population**' and the '**emissions**' in a base year (here: 2019).

(2) **National paths**: The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

Basic idea behind the Regensburg Model Scenario Types RM 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes to making **political decisions** about emission **paths**.

Brief description of the ESPM:
https://www.klima-retten.info/PDF/ESPM_Background.pdf

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:
https://www.klima-retten.info/Downloads/RM-Scenario-Types_short.pdf

Published paper for the six largest emitters:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4764408