

more different framework data and corresponding results at: <http://results-espm.save-the-climate.info>

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)

global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100	Gt	determination
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2020 - 2100	650	
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2020 - 2100	0	
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here	3.3%	-21
weighting population in the weighted key	50%	national budget
potential for net negative emissions	-2%	overshoot
scenario type used for the reference values	RM-3-lin	paths

Calculation global budget to distribute here:

LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. Global LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget.

A value of zero for LUC means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

Overshoot: The percentage stated is applied to the 2019 emissions and represents the minimum of the emissions pathway.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions

target year:	2030	2035	2040	emissions 2019 in Gt	per capita 2019 in t	share in global emissions 2019	share in global population 2019	year emissions neutrality	temporary overshoot in Gt	normalised start change rate 2025
	2019									
China	-18%	-69%	-94%	12	8	32%	18%	2044	13	0.8%
United States	-32%	-74%	-95%	5	15	14%	4%	2043	6	0.3%
EU27	-31%	-51%	-70%	3	7	8%	6%	2059	2	-1.9%
India	43%	26%	-11%	3	2	7%	18%	2062	2	4.6%
Russia	-27%	-85%	-102%	2	13	5%	2%	2040	2	3.1%
Japan	-35%	-59%	-78%	1	9	3%	2%	2054	1	-3.0%
sum				25		69%	50%		27	

largest national budgets 2020 - 2100	national budget	weighted key	emissions 2019	scope years
	Gt	Gt		
China	159.2	25.3%	11.81	13
India	77.7	12.4%	2.55	30
United States	56.0	8.9%	4.97	11
EU27	43.0	6.8%	2.91	15
Russia	21.8	3.5%	1.86	12
Indonesia	16.5	2.6%	0.64	26
Japan	14.8	2.4%	1.12	13
Brazil	12.7	2.0%	0.47	27
Pakistan	10.0	1.6%	0.20	51
Mexico	9.6	1.5%	0.49	20
Iran	9.5	1.5%	0.71	13
Germany	9.3	1.5%	0.70	13
Nigeria	9.3	1.5%	0.13	74
Bangladesh	7.8	1.2%	0.11	71
South Korea	7.7	1.2%	0.65	12
Türkiye	6.9	1.1%	0.41	17
Viet Nam	6.9	1.1%	0.34	20
Canada	6.7	1.1%	0.61	11
South Africa	6.5	1.0%	0.48	14
Saudi Arabia	6.4	1.0%	0.58	11
Egypt	6.2	1.0%	0.24	26
United Kingdom	5.8	0.9%	0.36	16
Philippines	5.7	0.9%	0.15	38
France and Monaco	5.4	0.9%	0.32	17
Thailand	5.3	0.8%	0.29	18
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	5.3	0.8%	0.33	16
Ethiopia	4.6	0.7%	0.02	252
Australia	4.5	0.7%	0.41	11
Poland	4.2	0.7%	0.31	14
Spain and Andorra	4.1	0.6%	0.25	16
Democratic Republic of the Congo	3.6	0.6%	0.00	804
Ukraine	3.6	0.6%	0.21	17
Malaysia	3.5	0.6%	0.26	14
Taiwan	3.5	0.5%	0.29	12
Argentina	3.4	0.5%	0.18	19
Iraq	3.3	0.5%	0.19	17
Algeria	3.3	0.5%	0.18	18
Colombia	2.8	0.4%	0.09	32
Kazakhstan	2.6	0.4%	0.22	12
Tanzania	2.6	0.4%	0.02	155
Myanmar/Burma	2.5	0.4%	0.03	73
Sudan and South Sudan	2.4	0.4%	0.02	103
Venezuela	2.4	0.4%	0.12	20
Uzbekistan	2.3	0.4%	0.12	20
sum without EU	548		33	
sum across all countries	629		37	17

Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

(1) **National budgets:** A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a **weighted distribution key** that includes the '**population**' and the '**emissions**' in a base year (here: 2019).

(2) **National paths:** The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

Basic idea behind the scenario types RM 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes

Brief description of the ESPM:

<http://espm-short.climate-calculator.info>

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:

<http://rm-scenario-types.climate-calculator.info>

Published paper for the six largest emitters:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4764408>

Overview of web apps for ESPM:

<https://climate-calculator.info>