

more different framework data and corresponding results at: <http://results-espm.save-the-climate.info>

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)

global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100	Gt	determination
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2020 - 2100	550	
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2020 - 2100	0	global budget
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here	3.3%	-18
weighting population in the weighted key	50%	national budget
potential for net negative emissions	-2%	overshoot
scenario type used for the reference values	RM-4-quadr	paths

Calculation global budget to distribute here:

LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. Global LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget.

A value of zero for LUC means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

Overshoot: The percentage stated is applied to the 2019 emissions and represents the minimum of the emissions pathway.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions

target year:	2030	2035	2040	emissions 2019 in Gt	per capita 2019 in t	share in global emissions 2019	share in global population 2019	year emissions neutrality	temporary overshoot in Gt	normalised start change rate 2025
	2019									
China	-23%	-97%	-102%	12	8	32%	18%	2036	15	0.8%
United States	-36%	-97%	-102%	5	15	14%	4%	2036	6	0.3%
EU27	-29%	-55%	-85%	3	7	8%	6%	2046	3	-1.9%
India	52%	30%	-43%	3	2	7%	18%	2048	3	4.6%
Russia	-44%	-102%	-102%	2	13	5%	2%	2034	2	3.1%
Japan	-35%	-67%	-93%	1	9	3%	2%	2044	1	-3.5%
sum				25		69%	50%		31	

largest national budgets 2020 - 2100	national budget	weighted key	emissions 2019	scope years
	Gt	Gt		
China	134.6	25.3%	11.81	11
India	65.7	12.4%	2.55	26
United States	47.4	8.9%	4.97	10
EU27	36.4	6.8%	2.91	13
Russia	18.4	3.5%	1.86	10
Indonesia	13.9	2.6%	0.64	22
Japan	12.5	2.4%	1.12	11
Brazil	10.7	2.0%	0.47	23
Pakistan	8.5	1.6%	0.20	43
Mexico	8.1	1.5%	0.49	16
Iran	8.0	1.5%	0.71	11
Germany	7.9	1.5%	0.70	11
Nigeria	7.8	1.5%	0.13	62
Bangladesh	6.6	1.2%	0.11	60
South Korea	6.5	1.2%	0.65	10
Türkiye	5.9	1.1%	0.41	14
Viet Nam	5.8	1.1%	0.34	17
Canada	5.7	1.1%	0.61	9
South Africa	5.5	1.0%	0.48	11
Saudi Arabia	5.4	1.0%	0.58	9
Egypt	5.2	1.0%	0.24	22
United Kingdom	4.9	0.9%	0.36	14
Philippines	4.8	0.9%	0.15	32
France and Monaco	4.6	0.9%	0.32	14
Thailand	4.5	0.8%	0.29	16
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	4.5	0.8%	0.33	13
Ethiopia	3.9	0.7%	0.02	213
Australia	3.8	0.7%	0.41	9
Poland	3.6	0.7%	0.31	11
Spain and Andorra	3.4	0.6%	0.25	14
Democratic Republic of the Congo	3.0	0.6%	0.00	680
Ukraine	3.0	0.6%	0.21	15
Malaysia	3.0	0.6%	0.26	12
Taiwan	2.9	0.5%	0.29	10
Argentina	2.9	0.5%	0.18	16
Iraq	2.8	0.5%	0.19	14
Algeria	2.8	0.5%	0.18	15
Colombia	2.3	0.4%	0.09	27
Kazakhstan	2.2	0.4%	0.22	10
Tanzania	2.2	0.4%	0.02	131
Myanmar/Burma	2.1	0.4%	0.03	62
Sudan and South Sudan	2.0	0.4%	0.02	87
Venezuela	2.0	0.4%	0.12	17
Uzbekistan	2.0	0.4%	0.12	17
sum without EU	464		33	
sum across all countries	532		37	14

Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

(1) **National budgets:** A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a **weighted distribution key** that includes the '**population**' and the '**emissions**' in a base year (here: 2019).

(2) **National paths:** The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

Basic idea behind the scenario types RM 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes

Brief description of the ESPM:

<http://espm-short.climate-calculator.info>

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:

<http://rm-scenario-types.climate-calculator.info>

Published paper for the six largest emitters:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4764408>

Overview of web apps for ESPM:

<https://climate-calculator.info>