more different framework data and corresponding results at: <a href="http://results-espm.save-the-climate.info">http://results-espm.save-the-climate.info</a>

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)						
		Gt	determination			
global CO2 budget 2018 - 2100		800				
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2018 - 2100		0	global			
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2018 - 2100	3%	-24				
global CO2 emissions 2018 - 2019 without LUC and ISA		-73	budget			
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here	703					
watchting a coulction has in the surjeted has	100% nati		national			
veighting population key in the weighted key		100 /0				
scenario type used for the reference values	RM-3-lin refer		reference			
minimum annual emissions as a percentage of the country's current emissions	0% valu		values			

Calculation **global budget** to distribute here:

LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget. The emissions for countries used and the country budgets determined here also do not include LUC and ISA emissions.

A value of **zero** for **LUC** means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions					share in			reduction		
			emissions	per capita	global	accu-	temporary	rate		
target year:	2030		2050		2019	2019	emissions	mulated	overshoot	used
reference year:	1990	2010	1990	2010	in Gt	in t	2019	share	in Gt	2020
China	141%	-37%	-100%	-100%	11.5	8	31%	31%	0	-2.1%
United States	-89%	-90%	-100%	-100%	5.1	16	14%	45%	0	-3.9%
EU27	-53%	-48%	-97%	-97%	2.9	7	8%	53%	0	-1.7%
India	301%	37%	209%	5%	2.6	2	7%	61%	0	-0.5%
Russia	-82%	-75%	-100%	-100%	1.8	12	5%	65%	0	-3.2%
Japan	-57%	-59%	-100%	-100%	1.2	9	3%	69%	0	-2.4%

largest national budgets	national	weighted	emissions	scope
2020 - 2100	budget	key	2019	years
2020 - 2100	Gt	ксу	Gt	years
China	130.7	18.6%	11.5	11.3
India	124.5	17.7%	2.6	47.9
EU28	46.7	6.6%	3.3	14.1
EU27	40.7	5.8%	2.9	13.8
United States	30.0	4.3%	5.1	5.9
Indonesia	24.7	3.5%	0.6	39.4
Pakistan	19.7	2.8%	0.0	88.3
Brazil	19.2	2.7%	0.5	40.2
Nigeria	18.3	2.6%	0.3	182.7
Bangladesh	14.9	2.0%	0.1	134.9
Russia	13.3	1.9%	1.8	7.4
Mexico	11.6	1.7%	0.5	24.0
Japan	11.6	1.6%	1.2	10.0
Ethiopia	10.2	1.5%	0.0	559.6
Philippines	9.9	1.4%	0.0	65.4
Egypt	9.9	1.3%	0.2	35.8
Vietnam	8.8	1.3%	0.3	28.8
Democratic Republic of the Congo	7.9	1.1%	0.0	2,650.7
Germany	7.6	1.1%	0.7	10.8
Turkey	7.6	1.1%	0.7	18.3
Iran	7.6	1.1%	0.7	10.8
Thailand	6.3	0.9%	0.7	23.1
United Kingdom	6.2	0.9%	0.3	16.9
France and Monaco	5.9	0.9%	0.4	18.9
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	5.5	0.8%	0.3	16.7
South Africa	5.3	0.8%	0.5	10.7
Tanzania	5.3	0.8%	0.0	396.2
Myanmar/Burma	4.9	0.7%	0.0	102.0
Sudan and South Sudan	4.9	0.7%	0.0	217.5
Kenya	4.8	0.7%	0.0	241.8
South Korea	4.7	0.7%	0.7	7.2
Colombia	4.6	0.7%	0.7	53.0
Spain and Andorra	4.3	0.6%	0.1	16.5
Argentina	4.1	0.6%	0.3	20.5
Uganda	4.0	0.6%	0.2	755.6
Ukraine	4.0	0.6%	0.0	20.4
Algeria	3.9	0.6%	0.2	21.7
Iraq	3.6	0.5%	0.2	18.1
Afghanistan	3.5	0.5%	0.2	315.2
Poland	3.5	0.5%	0.0	10.9
Canada	3.4	0.5%	0.5	5.8
Morocco	3.4	0.5%	0.0	45.0
Saudi Arabia	3.3	0.5%	0.1	5.1
Uzbekistan	3.0	0.4%	0.0	31.6
sum without EU	589	0.470	32	31.0
			-	
sum across all countries	703		37	19.2

## Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

- (1) National budgets: A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a weighted distribution key that includes the 'population' and the 'emissions' in a base year (here: 2019).
- (2) **National paths**: The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

## Important parameters

The weighting of the population distribution key is an important parameter when determining national budgets.

An important parameter for determining the **national paths** is the potential for **net negative emissions** that is assumed. If net negative emissions are taken into account (percentage for the minimum value of emissions is negative), the budget is temporarily exceeded (overshoot). Please note: The actual potential of negative emissions is very uncertain. In addition, a resulting **overshoot** can be problematic with regard to the **tipping points** in the climate system. Negative emissions are only taken into account in this tool from the non-LUC sector, as a separate budget is set for LUC emissions (see above).

## Basic idea behind the Regensburg Model Scenario Types RM 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**.

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:

 $\underline{https://www.klima-retten.info/Downloads/RM-Scenario-Types\_short.pdf}$ 

www.save-the-climate.info 01.04.2021