

more different framework data and corresponding results at: <http://results-espm.save-the-climate.info>

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)

global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100	Gt	determination
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2020 - 2100	550	
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2020 - 2100	0	global budget
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here	3.3%	-18
weighting population in the weighted key	100%	national budget
potential for net negative emissions	-2%	overshoot
scenario type used for the reference values	RM-5-rad	paths

Calculation global budget to distribute here:

LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. Global LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget.

A value of zero for LUC means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

Overshoot: The percentage stated is applied to the 2019 emissions and represents the minimum of the emissions pathway.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions

target year:	2030	2035	2040	emissions 2019 in Gt	per capita 2019 in t	share in global emissions 2019	share in global population 2019	year emissions neutrality	temporary overshoot in Gt	normalised start change rate 2025
	reference year:	2019	2019							
China	-77%	-100%	-102%	12	8	32%	18%	2035	15	0.3%
United States	-102%	-102%	-102%	5	15	14%	4%	2028	7	0.3%
EU27	-47%	-74%	-90%	3	7	8%	6%	2049	3	-2.4%
India	35%	22%	-1%	3	2	7%	18%	2082	1	4.6%
Russia	-102%	-102%	-102%	2	13	5%	2%	2028	3	3.1%
Japan	-66%	-93%	-101%	1	9	3%	2%	2040	1	-3.5%
sum				25		69%	50%		30	

largest national budgets 2020 - 2100	national budget	weighted key	emissions 2019	scope years
	Gt		Gt	
China	98.0	18.4%	11.81	8
India	94.4	17.7%	2.55	37
EU27	30.6	5.7%	2.91	11
United States	22.7	4.3%	4.97	5
Indonesia	18.6	3.5%	0.64	29
Brazil	14.7	2.8%	0.47	31
Pakistan	14.1	2.7%	0.20	71
Nigeria	13.9	2.6%	0.13	110
Bangladesh	11.6	2.2%	0.11	106
Russia	9.9	1.9%	1.86	5
Mexico	9.1	1.7%	0.49	18
Japan	8.8	1.6%	1.12	8
Ethiopia	7.6	1.4%	0.02	411
Philippines	7.5	1.4%	0.15	50
Egypt	7.0	1.3%	0.24	29
Viet Nam	6.7	1.3%	0.34	20
Democratic Republic of the Congo	6.0	1.1%	0.00	1,346
Türkiye	5.7	1.1%	0.41	14
Iran	5.7	1.1%	0.71	8
Germany	5.7	1.1%	0.70	8
Thailand	4.8	0.9%	0.29	17
United Kingdom	4.6	0.9%	0.36	13
France and Monaco	4.5	0.8%	0.32	14
Tanzania	4.2	0.8%	0.02	247
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	4.1	0.8%	0.33	12
South Africa	4.0	0.8%	0.48	8
Myanmar/Burma	3.7	0.7%	0.03	109
Sudan and South Sudan	3.7	0.7%	0.02	160
Kenya	3.6	0.7%	0.02	185
South Korea	3.5	0.7%	0.65	5
Colombia	3.4	0.6%	0.09	40
Spain and Andorra	3.2	0.6%	0.25	13
Uganda	3.2	0.6%	0.01	446
Argentina	3.1	0.6%	0.18	17
Ukraine	3.0	0.6%	0.21	15
Algeria	2.9	0.6%	0.18	16
Iraq	2.8	0.5%	0.19	14
Poland	2.6	0.5%	0.31	8
Canada	2.6	0.5%	0.61	4
Afghanistan	2.6	0.5%	0.01	212
Morocco	2.5	0.5%	0.07	35
Saudi Arabia	2.4	0.4%	0.58	4
Peru	2.3	0.4%	0.06	39
Uzbekistan	2.3	0.4%	0.12	20
sum without EU	447		32	
sum across all countries	532		37	14

Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

(1) **National budgets:** A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a **weighted distribution key** that includes the '**population**' and the '**emissions**' in a base year (here: 2019).

(2) **National paths:** The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

Basic idea behind the scenario types RM 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes

Brief description of the ESPM:

<http://espm-short.climate-calculator.info>

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:

<http://rm-scenario-types.climate-calculator.info>

Published paper for the six largest emitters:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4764408>

Overview of web apps for ESPM:

<https://climate-calculator.info>