

more different framework data and corresponding results at: <http://results-esp.m.save-the-climate.info>

framework data (input values here: yellow fields)			determination
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100	Gt	550	global budget
land-use change (LUC) emissions 2020 - 2100		0	
international shipping and aviation (ISA) emissions 2020 - 2100	3%	-17	
global CO2 budget 2020 - 2100 to distribute here		533	national budget
weighting population key in the weighted key		100%	
scenario type used for the reference values		RM-3-lin	paths

Calculation **global budget** to distribute here:
LUC and ISA emissions are not considered here. Global LUC and ISA budgets are therefore offset against the global budget.
A value of **zero** for LUC means that by 2100, in total, net positive LUC emissions are offset by net negative LUC emissions.

reference values for the countries with the highest emissions					emissions	per capita	share in	share in	year	normalised
target year:	2030		2050		2019	2019	global	global	emissions	change
reference year:	1990	2019	1990	2019	in Gt	in t	emissions	population	neutrality	rate
China	18%	-76%	-100%	-100%	11.8	8	32%	18%	2037	2.4%
United States	-100%	-100%	-100%	-100%	5.0	15	14%	4%	2030	-3.0%
EU27	-66%	-56%	-100%	-100%	2.9	7	8%	6%	2051	-4.7%
India	321%	-1%	155%	-40%	2.6	2	7%	18%	-	0.3%
Russia	-99%	-99%	-100%	-100%	1.9	13	5%	2%	2031	3.9%
Japan	-75%	-74%	-100%	-100%	1.1	9	3%	2%	2040	-3.1%
sum							69%	50%		

largest national budgets 2020 - 2100	national	weighted	emissions	scope
	budget	key	2019	years
	Gt		Gt	
China	98.2	18.4%	11.77	8
India	94.7	17.8%	2.56	37
EU27	30.7	5.8%	2.92	10
United States	22.8	4.3%	5.01	5
Indonesia	18.6	3.5%	0.65	29
Brazil	14.7	2.8%	0.48	31
Pakistan	14.2	2.7%	0.20	70
Nigeria	13.9	2.6%	0.12	116
Bangladesh	11.6	2.2%	0.10	111
Russia	10.0	1.9%	1.88	5
Mexico	9.2	1.7%	0.48	19
Japan	8.8	1.6%	1.14	8
Ethiopia	7.6	1.4%	0.02	385
Philippines	7.5	1.4%	0.15	50
Egypt	7.0	1.3%	0.27	26
Vietnam	6.7	1.3%	0.33	20
Democratic Republic of the Congo	6.0	1.1%	0.00	1,781
Turkey	5.7	1.1%	0.42	14
Iran	5.7	1.1%	0.67	9
Germany	5.7	1.1%	0.70	8
Thailand	4.8	0.9%	0.28	17
United Kingdom	4.6	0.9%	0.37	13
France and Monaco	4.5	0.8%	0.32	14
Tanzania	4.2	0.8%	0.01	313
Italy, San Marino and the Holy See	4.1	0.8%	0.33	12
South Africa	4.0	0.8%	0.47	9
Myanmar/Burma	3.8	0.7%	0.04	96
Sudan and South Sudan	3.8	0.7%	0.02	165
Kenya	3.6	0.7%	0.02	157
South Korea	3.6	0.7%	0.65	5
Colombia	3.4	0.6%	0.08	41
Spain and Andorra	3.2	0.6%	0.26	13
Uganda	3.2	0.6%	0.01	444
Argentina	3.1	0.6%	0.18	17
Ukraine	3.0	0.6%	0.20	15
Algeria	3.0	0.6%	0.18	17
Iraq	2.8	0.5%	0.18	15
Poland	2.6	0.5%	0.31	8
Canada	2.6	0.5%	0.61	4
Afghanistan	2.6	0.5%	0.01	318
Morocco	2.5	0.5%	0.07	35
Saudi Arabia	2.4	0.4%	0.58	4
Peru	2.3	0.4%	0.06	40
Uzbekistan	2.3	0.4%	0.12	18
sum without EU	448		32	
sum across all countries	533		37	15

Basic idea behind the ESPM

The ESPM consists of two steps:

(1) **National budgets:** A predefined global CO2 budget is distributed to countries. The ESPM tool offers the use of a **weighted distribution key** that includes the **'population'** and the **'emissions'** in a base year (here: 2019).

(2) **National paths:** The ESPM tool offers the Regensburg Model Scenario Types to derive plausible national paths that adhere to a national budget.

Basic idea behind the Regensburg Model Scenario Types RM 1 - 6

With the help of the RM Scenario Types, emission paths can be determined that meet a given budget. The scenario types differ in the **assumption** about the **property** of the **annual reductions**. This approach is particularly useful when it comes to making **political decisions** about emission **paths**.

Brief description of the ESPM:

https://www.klima-rettet.info/PDF/ESPM_Background.pdf

Brief description of the RM Scenario Types:

https://www.klima-rettet.info/Downloads/RM-Scenario-Types_short.pdf

Published paper for the six largest emitters:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4764408>